

## The capability approach for the overcoming of a Judicial Psychiatric Hospital in Italy: impact evaluation at eight years

Journal:	<i>International Journal of Social Psychiatry</i>
Manuscript ID	Draft
Manuscript Type:	Original Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Leone, Liliana; Studio CEVAS Di Liliana Leone, Center of Research and Evaluation; Messina Community Foundation, Research & Evaluation Giunta, Gaetano; Messina Community Foundation, Research & Evaluation; Horcynus Orca Interuniversity Foundation Motta, Gaspare; ASP 5 Messina, Mental Health Department Cavallaro, Giancarlo; Consortium SO.LE.; ASP 5 Messina, Department of Mental Health Martinez, Lucia; ISTAT, Social research
Keywords:	deinstitutionalisation, International Functional Classification, personal health budget, socio-labour integration, welfare community
Abstract:	<p>Background: With the closure of the Judicial Psychiatric Hospitals (JSP) of Barcellona Pozzo di Gotto in 2015 Italy officially became the first country worldwide to close forensic psychiatric hospitals. Few studies, however, provided outcome results for cohort of patients discharged from psychiatric hospitals.</p> <p>Aims: This study presents the results of an impact evaluation, lasting ten years, of a pilot project concerning the dismantlement and the closure of the JPH of Barcellona Pozzo di Gotto in Italy.</p> <p>Method: Through a model based on the Capability Approach and on building opportunity of choice at community level, a pre-post evaluation design was performed with a comparison between the intervention and control group for the healthcare cost analysis. The tools used for the assessment are: a structured questionnaire addressed to project beneficiaries, the Scale HoNOS Secure, 4 sub-scales of ICF- Classification of Functioning of Disability and Health, and n.20 interviews.</p> <p>Results: Main results are: a) the discharge of 55 inmates through the use of customized projects and an administrative device called 'Personal Capability Capital'; b) the expansion of capabilities and a significant improvement of ICF functioning (t-test Sig. &lt;, 02).; c) the reduction of the risk for others and a decrease of high control residential structures falling from 70% to 6.6%; d) the social-working inclusion and living in one's own home for 36% of people; e) reduction of the healthcare costs from the fourth year onwards.</p> <p>Conclusion: Indications emerge to support processes of de-institutionalisation and capabilities expansion through innovative models, supported by social finance and social impact investments.</p>

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



## Title

The capability approach for the overcoming of a Judicial Psychiatric Hospital in Italy:  
impact evaluation at ten years

**Background:** With the closure of the Judicial Psychiatric Hospitals (JSP) of Barcellona Pozzo di Gotto in 2015 Italy officially became the first country worldwide to close forensic psychiatric hospitals. Few studies, however, provided outcome results for cohort of patients discharged from psychiatric hospitals.

**Aims:** This study presents the results of an impact evaluation, lasting ten years, of a pilot project concerning the dismantlement and the closure of the JPH of Barcellona Pozzo di Gotto in Italy.

**Method:** Through a model based on the Capability Approach and on building opportunity of choice at community level, a pre-post evaluation design was performed with a comparison between the intervention and control group for the healthcare cost analysis. The tools used for the assessment are: a structured questionnaire addressed to project beneficiaries, the Scale HoNOS Secure, 4 sub-scales of ICF- Classification of Functioning of Disability and Health, and n.20 interviews.

**Results:** Main results are: a) the discharge of 55 inmates through the use of customized projects and an administrative device called 'Personal Capability Capital'; b) the expansion of capabilities and a significant improvement of ICF functioning (t-test Sig. <, 02).; c) the reduction of the risk for others and a decrease of high control residential structures falling from 70% to 6.6%; d) the social-working inclusion and living in one's own home for 36% of people; e) reduction of the healthcare costs from the fourth year onwards.

**Conclusion:** Indications emerge to support processes of de-institutionalisation and capabilities expansion through innovative models, supported by social finance and social impact investments.

**Keywords:** *deinstitutionalisation; International Functional Classification; personal health budget; socio-labour integration; welfare community; social impact investing; judicial hospitals*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 **The capability approach for the overcoming of a Judicial Psychiatric Hospital in Italy:**  
6  
7 **impact evaluation at ten years**

8  
9 Liliana Leone<sup>1</sup>, Gaetano Giunta<sup>2,3</sup>, Gaspare Motta<sup>4</sup>, Giancarlo Cavallaro<sup>4,5</sup>, Lucia Martinez<sup>1,6</sup>  
10

11  
12  
13 <sup>1</sup> CEVAS Centre of Research and Evaluation, Roma (Italy), Email [leone@cevas.it](mailto:leone@cevas.it)

14 <sup>2</sup> Community Foundation of Messina o.n.l.u.s. (Italy), Email [g.giunta@fdcmessina.org](mailto:g.giunta@fdcmessina.org)

15 <sup>3</sup> Horcynus Orca Interuniversity Foundation (Messina, Italy), Email

16 <sup>4</sup> Mental Health Department, ASP 5 Messina, Email [dsm.mesud.csm@asp.me.it](mailto:dsm.mesud.csm@asp.me.it)

17 <sup>5</sup> Consortium SO.LE. Solidarity and Energy, Messina (Italy), Email  
18 [giancarlo.cavallaro283@gmail.com](mailto:giancarlo.cavallaro283@gmail.com)

19 <sup>6</sup> Istat Italian National Institute of Statistics, Roma, Email [luciamartinez80@gmail.com](mailto:luciamartinez80@gmail.com)  
20  
21

22  
23 ° The date of submission: 21<sup>st</sup> May 2021

24  
25 ° Address of the author responsible for correspondence during the reviewing and publishing  
26 processes.

27 Liliana Leone

28 Via Fontana della Girandola 24

29 00078 Monte Porzio Catone (RM)

30 e-mail [leone@cevas.it](mailto:leone@cevas.it)

31 cell 3494210845 - Tel 069422505  
32  
33

34  
35 ° Author note» indicates the acknowledgement and special circumstances, if any, regarding  
36 the study  
37 (e.g., funding, dissertation, etc.);  
38

39 GG and LL defined the scope of the research. LL and LM developed the evaluation design,  
40 managed the interviews and analysed the results. With specific regard to the health  
41 assessment of beneficiaries GM and GC carried out the health assessment. LL, GG interpreted  
42 the results and wrote the discussion section. GG developed the program theory and LL  
43 contributed to its refinement. LL wrote the first draft of the paper; all authors contributed  
44 considerably to editing of all written work, provided feedback on various drafts, and read and  
45 approved the final manuscript.  
46  
47

48 The study was funded by the Cassa delle Ammende Ministry of Justice (Italy), by the  
49 Community Foundation of Messina and by Ministry of Health and Sicily Region Intesa Stato  
50 Regione 20/4/11 - 84CSR.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Abstract

**Background:** With the closure of the Judicial Psychiatric Hospitals (JSP) of Barcellona Pozzo di Gotto in 2015 Italy officially became the first country worldwide to close forensic psychiatric hospitals. Few studies, however, provided outcome results for cohort of patients discharged from psychiatric hospitals.

**Aims:** This study presents the results of an impact evaluation, lasting ten years, of a pilot project concerning the dismantlement and the closure of the JPH of Barcellona Pozzo di Gotto in Italy.

**Method:** Through a model based on the Capability Approach and on building opportunity of choice at community level, a pre-post evaluation design was performed with a comparison between the intervention and control group for the healthcare cost analysis. The tools used for the assessment are: a structured questionnaire addressed to project beneficiaries, the Scale HoNOS Secure, 4 sub-scales of ICF- Classification of Functioning of Disability and Health, and n.20 interviews.

**Results:** Main results are: a) the discharge of 55 inmates through the use of customized projects and an administrative device called 'Personal Capability Capital'; b) the expansion of capabilities and a significant improvement of ICF functioning (t-test Sig. <, 02).; c) the reduction of the risk for others and a decrease of high control residential structures falling from 70% to 6.6%; d) the social-working inclusion and living in one's own home for 36% of people; e) reduction of the healthcare costs from the fourth year onwards.

**Conclusion:** Indications emerge to support processes of de-institutionalisation and capabilities expansion through innovative models, supported by social finance and social impact investments.

**Keywords:** *deinstitutionalisation; International Functional Classification; personal health budget; socio-labour integration; welfare community; social impact investing; judicial hospitals*

## Introduction

Since 2011, Italy has begun the definitive closure of all the six maximum-security Judicial Psychiatric Hospitals (JPH) that provided treatment and custody for mentally ill offenders (Di Lorito et al., 2017; De Luca et al. 2018; Ferracuti et al. 2019; Fioritti & Melega, 2020) deemed not guilty because of insanity and socially dangerous, and for inmates who became mentally ill during incarceration. These custodial institutions confined mentally ill persons on indeterminate sentences and the quality of mental healthcare was seriously unsatisfactory, thus depriving them of all civil rights (Barbui & Saraceno, 2015). The definitive closure of the JPHs was confirmed by the Decree-law n.81/2014 and with the discharge of the last patients at the JPH of Barcellona Pozzo di Gotto, in February 2015, Italy officially became the first country worldwide to close forensic psychiatric hospitals. Some years later after the closure of Italian JPHs some critical issues arose relating to the development at a regional level of small-scale facilities and mental health services and possible treatment pathways in and out institutionalizing settings such as the residences for the execution of security measures (REMS) (Zanaldi & Giannantonio, 2021). Few studies, however, provided outcome results for cohort of patients discharged from psychiatric hospitals by using a prospective longitudinal design (Barbato et al., 2004; Leff et al., 2000; McGrew et al., 1999; Rothbard, et al., 2004) and according to Barbui and Saraceno (2021) there is still a shortage of evidence about the effectiveness of various legal frameworks among EU Member States. Finally, there is a lack of data in JPH setting and no study used ICF to assess the effectiveness of the intervention with ex inmates or inmates inside a JPH (Manabe et al., 2011).

The pilot project Luce è Libertà (Light is Freedom from 2010 to 2014), was aimed at overcoming JPH of Barcellona Pozzo di Gotto (Messina, Sicily Region) where serious human rights violations were reported by a parliamentary commission<sup>1</sup>. The project was built in

1  
2  
3 partnership with the Mental Health Department of the Provincial Health Authority (ASP ME)  
4  
5 of Messina, the ULEPE (Local Office for External Criminal Execution), the centre for social  
6  
7 innovation Ecos-Med soc. coop. soc., and a network of social consortia in Sicily. It was co-  
8  
9 financed by Cassa delle Ammende of the Ministry of Justice for a value of € 3,894,886 and by  
10  
11 the local partners, for a total start-up amount of € 1,248,520. The project aimed at  
12  
13 experimenting an evolved model of community welfare structurally intertwined with forms of  
14  
15 productive green economy. The theoretical model underlying the pilot project is based on the  
16  
17 human development paradigm and following the Capability Approach (CA) it is addressed to  
18  
19 the capability expansion (Sen, 1989, 2001; Fukuda-Parr, 2003). The main goal was to  
20  
21 simultaneously promote socio-economic systems generating alternatives on the main areas of  
22  
23 the beneficiaries' human functioning and expanding their substantial freedoms. The  
24  
25 theoretical framing The methodology of the project Luce è Libertà is centred on the idea of  
26  
27 ensuring to each beneficiary a Personal Capability Capital (PCC), which represents the stock  
28  
29 of resources on which to base paths to re-gain their civil rights on an individual, social and  
30  
31 community level. The mutualization of PCCs has made it possible to set up a dedicated fund  
32  
33 at the Community Foundation of Messina (CFM) aimed at self-financing Luce and Libertà  
34  
35 over the long term (20 years after the start-up)<sup>2</sup>.

36  
37  
38 The impact assessment of the pilot Project Luce è Libertà (Light is Freedom) was  
39  
40 commissioned to CEVAS by the Community Foundation of Messina (also CFM) (Leone,  
41  
42 2014). The main hypothesis was that the activation of inclusive welfare models based on the  
43  
44 protection of rights and the promotion of solidarity constraints, in micro-contexts  
45  
46 characterized by a high level of social capital at the inter-organizational level, could trigger  
47  
48 mechanisms for strengthening and expanding the opportunities and insofar to guarantee the  
49  
50 increase of people substantial freedoms. The CA conceives well-being as the freedom people  
51  
52 have to enjoy valuable activities and states. According to the CA, well-being should be  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 conceived directly in terms of functioning and capabilities, instead of resources or utility  
4  
5 (Alkire, 2015), happiness, desire fulfilment or opulence.  
6  
7  
8  
9

## 10 11 **Material and methods**

12  
13  
14 To compare the process of capacitation over time among the beneficiaries the method of  
15  
16 comparison between privileged capacities was used, which involves the comparison between  
17  
18 certain particular capacities chosen as the centre of interest "without seeking completeness"  
19  
20 (Sen, 2001:86). The research aimed at answering the following evaluation questions:  
21  
22

- 23  
24 1. After the exit from the JPH, is there an improvement in the welfare conditions of the  
25  
26 beneficiaries of the project? Are risk factors and the need for control measures reduced?  
27  
28
- 29  
30 2. Does the 'parachute' mechanism work, i.e., the protection system given by the activation of  
31  
32 formal and informal local support networks, in case the subjects experience phases of crisis?  
33  
34 Is recidivism (re-entry to the penal area) reduced?  
35  
36
- 37  
38 3. Is the model more or less efficient compared to the standard model based on hospitalisation  
39  
40 in protected residential structures or therapeutic communities?  
41

42  
43 The pre-post study design collected data at three points: T0) baseline inside the JPH along  
44  
45 the period May 2010 - November 2012; T1) at 20 months follow-up in the period 2012-2013;  
46  
47 T2) a follow-up in December 2019. Ethical approval for the study was granted by a local  
48  
49 ethics committee. All participants signed informed consent and voluntarily accepted to be  
50  
51 involved in the project and to mutualize the economic personal dowry of the so-called PCC,  
52  
53 for the purpose of a productive investment. In this way, thanks to the yield generated, the  
54  
55 social benefits (e.g., labour insertion, the net of social support, and measures of social  
56  
57 housing) have been extended for over 20 years. During the administration of the HoNOS  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 Secure (Wing et al., 1998) and ICF tests, data from 52 subjects were recorded: those of a new  
4 subsequent insertion without detection at T0 are missing.  
5  
6  
7

8 The efficiency of the model was calculated by comparing the direct healthcare costs incurred  
9 for the beneficiaries of Luce è Libertà with the standard healthcare costs as follows. The  
10 people who died shortly after the start of the project wer excluded by the analysis.  
11  
12  
13

14 The per capita and pro-die fees of social-health or residential healthcare facilities for  
15 psychiatric patients at the time of the survey vary between 130 - 200 euros.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

### 23 *Instruments to collect data*

24  
25  
26 Data collection was mainly carried out through a structured questionnaire completed in the  
27 pre- and post-version by trained Mental Health Department ASP-ME5 health workers. It  
28 included 124 structured questions as follows: a section with personal information (13  
29 questions: age, marital status, employment, education...); psychiatric history, legal data (9  
30 questions: year of the crime, type of restriction, date, and duration of stay in the JPH,  
31 definitive/provisional safety measures and timing...); psycho-pathological data (17 questions:  
32 diagnosis, history, drugs and therapy, other concomitant pathologies); social conditions (11  
33 questions: case manager references, presence of work placements, references to the JPH's  
34 background, type and frequency of contacts). Following, the HoNOS Secure scales and a  
35 selection of ICF items with 19 and 55 compulsory choice questions, respectively were  
36 administrated.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

52 The Health of the Nation Outcome Scale for Users of Secure and Forensic Services HoNOS-  
53 secure (Vers 2b St Andrew) tool, called HoNOS Secure, consists of two scales. The first scale  
54 includes 7 items that refer to the risk for oneself, for others and from the others, to the level of  
55 protection and accompaniment necessary for compliance with the rules, and to clinical risk.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 The summary index of HoNOS Secure related to the area of mental and physical health  
4 conditions and problems in daily life activities, included only 8 of the original 12 items (Items  
5 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9) excluding those with over 10% of non-responses at T0 during the detention  
6 in the JPH. The score range is from 0 (risk absent) to 4.  
7  
8  
9

10  
11  
12  
13 The second part of the questionnaire was based on a selection of some items of the  
14 International Classification Functioning Disability and Health (ICF) developed by WHO  
15 (WHO 2011). The ICF items correspond to four dimensions, which reflect the areas of  
16 empowerment (capability dimensions) also used in the definition of the personalised  
17 intervention projects developed through the PCC. The ICF uses two constructs: (a) a  
18 person's ability to perform an activity in a standard environment; (b) a person's actual  
19 performance in performing an activity in his natural environment. Therefore, the performance  
20 qualifier (P) represents a measure of an individual's 'functioning', similarly to the meaning  
21 given by Amartya Sen's Theory of Social Justice. The qualifications/scores represent the  
22 limitation or restriction levels and allow to denote the seriousness of the problem (range 0-4)  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 A qualitative investigation was carried out through the realization of No. 20 unstructured  
37 interviews (No. 8 to ex inmates and No. 12 to project operators and managers). The objectives  
38 of the interviews were: 1) understanding the concrete ways of using the care budget, starting  
39 from the management of the numerous 'critical events' (e.g.: how to avoid and contain re-  
40 entry, buffer function with magistracy...); 2) identifying strategies adopted to expand the  
41 'freedoms and offer more opportunities' (primarily housing and work); 3) analysing the  
42 sustainability of the solutions adopted by the project.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

53 All the interviews were recorded, transcribed, and the contents were codified.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58

59 *Statistical analysis*  
60

The statistical analysis followed two steps:

- a) Construction of some synthetic indexes for HoNos Secure and the ICF sub-scales. The internal coherence of the scales was measured using the Cronbach Alpha coefficient and any reductions in the variables to be entered were decided;
- b) Comparison between the average (t-test) of the pairs of items between the first and the second survey to highlight the areas in which the significant changes occurred;

There are 39 ICF items, called 'Qualifiers Performance' and 'Qualifier Capacity', that are discussed in this analysis (Tab.1). They have been summarized, with an additive procedure, by defining four sub-scales (Cronbach Alpha coef. from .76 to .94). related to the dimensions used in the personalised projects: Sociality Scale (composed of 8 items), Culture and Knowledge Application Scale (n.10 Items), Living and Daily Life Scale (n.16 items), Income and Work Scale (n.5 items). Each of the 4 scales, therefore, gives rise to four synthetic indicators: a pre- and post-performance measurement (T0 and T1), a pre- and post-capacity measurement. The scales were later standardized but in the present study the original values that reflect the statistics used to build the scales themselves are illustrated.

Table 1. Internal consistency of four ICF scales in the first survey (t0) and items included for Performance and Capacity Qualifiers

Scales	Cronbach's Alpha		N° of Items	ICF Qualifiers/ items Included
	P0	C0		
Sociality	0,76	0,84	8	<i>d740_Formal relationships; d750_Informal social relationships; d310_Communicating with -- receiving -- spoken messages; d330_Speaking; d350_Conversation; d910_Community life; d920_Recreation and leisure; d950_Political life and citizenship</i>
Culture and knowledge	0,91	0,93	10	<i>d810_Informal education; d825_Vocational training; d160_Focusing attention; d163_Thinking; d166_Reading; d170_Scrittura; d172_Calcolating; d177_Making decisions; d175_Solving problems; d199_Learning and applying knowledge, unspecified</i>
Daily life	0,9	0,92	16	<i>d650_Caring for household objects; d510_Washing oneself; d520_Caring for body</i>

	4			<i>parts (brushing teeth, shaving, grooming, etc.); d570_Looking after one's health; d550_Eating; d630_Preparation of meals (cooking etc.); d530_Toileting; d210_Undertaking a single task; d230.1_Managing daily routine; d640_Doing housework; d620_Acquisition of goods and services (shopping, etc.); d660_Assisting others; d155_Acquiring skills; d240.1_Handling stress; d450_Walking; d440_Fine hand use (picking up, grasping)</i>
Income and work	0,8 8	0,9	5	<i>d610_Acquiring a place to live; d870Economic self-sufficiency; d845_Acquiring, keeping and terminating a job; d850_Remuneration employment; d865_Complex economic transactions</i>

## Results

The sample of beneficiaries is made up of 55 male subjects with an average age of 45 years: 32% of young people between 24 and 39 years of age, 39% with an average age between 40-50 years and the remaining 29% of mature adults between 51 and 64 years old. The participation in the project was proposed to all inmates in the JPH who had the extension of the measure and, therefore, had experienced greater failures in previous attempts to discharge. The length of stay within the JPH varied, in the first survey (T0), between 2 and 25 years with only 12 people that remained less than two years. The main crimes committed were: personal injury (12), murder (10), attempted crime (8), theft or minor offenses such as attempted robbery, non-compliance with restrictive provisions. The legal position of 48% of inmates at T0 was security measures or sentence discount while other beneficiaries were definitively discharged (with final license).

The two Honos Secure scales (Tab. 2) indicate significant improvements (t Student paired differences Sig, 000) from T0 (Pre) to T1 (post discharge) ranging from -2.15 points for the (Risk assessment scale (b) reduction of social risk for others and for themselves, to -1.12 points for Health Scale (a) due to the reduction of mental problems and hyperactive behaviors.

Table 2. Differences at T0 and T1 on two HoNOS scale Secure Student's t-test index

	Mean T0	Mean T1	Paired Differences					t	df	Sig (2 tailed)		
			Pre	Post	Mean Diff	Std. Dev	Std. Err Mean				95% C.I. of Diff.	
											Lower	Upper
(a) Honos Health conditions Scale T0 - T1	9,00	7,88	-1,12	1,68	0,24	0,64	1,59	4,75	50	.000		
(b) Honos Risk assessment Scale T0- T1	10,27	8,12	-2,15	1,97	0,27	1,60	2,70	7,87	51	.000		

The most significant improvements concern the lower need for protection of residences (20 people out of 52), the lower personal need for protection and assistance (20 cases) and accompaniment during licensing or exiting (29 cases). A quarter of the sample also recorded an improvement concerning clinical risk and its management.

The behaviours on which the greatest changes are highlighted are related (Tab.3) to the activities of daily life (both basic activities as self-care, washing, dressing and using money, organizing free time, shopping), those related to living conditions such as housing and the availability of money to satisfy basic needs (Items 10, 11, 12).

Table 3. HoNOS Secure Health scale: Items and mean values at T0 and T1

Items	T0 Mean value	T1 Mean value
1. Overactive, aggressive, disruptive or agitated behaviour	1.00	0.90
2. Non-accidental self-injury	0.40	0.30
3. Problem drinking or drug taking	0.80	0.80
4. Cognitive problems	1.40	1.20
5. Physical illness or disability problems	0.80	0.80
6. Problems with hallucinations and delusions	1.20	1.00
7. Problems with depressed mood	1.10	0.90
8. Other mental and behavioural problems	1.10	1.10

9. Problems with relationships	2.00	1.70
10. Problems with activities of daily living	2.20	1.90
11. Problems with living conditions	2.20	1.80
12. Problems with occupations and activities	2.20	1.80

From 2011 to January 2020 there were 10 deaths (18.2% of the sample). Within the first two years 2 people died while they were in a high-intensity therapeutic community; 3 people died at their home 6-8 years after their discharge due to serious health problems. Among the contributing causes of death there are: continuous and uninterrupted intake, often with high doses, of antipsychotic drugs, the presence of non-communicable diseases and addictions.

#### *Social functioning through the ICF sub-scales*

We analyse now the social functioning of the subjects who leave the JPH. The ICF provides a method of recording the level of impairment or limitation of the person through the use of items (qualifiers) able to denote the seriousness of the problem. The limitation or restriction levels range from 0, no problem, to 4, complete or deep problem. Table 4 illustrates the results of the comparison between pre and post detections (T0 and T1). The average value of each scale is highlighted, relative to the performances (called P0-P1) and the capacities (C0-C1) and the decrease in the indicator value denotes a reduction in the problematic condition. For all the ICF sub-scales, a significant improvement was noted in the second survey after leaving the JPH (T1) with a more intense variation as regards performance, indicating a reduction in problematic conditions. The variation is statistically significant for all the areas (Sig. ,021/ Sig. ,000 t-tests), except for capacity related to the area of income and employment ( -, 37 Liv Sig., 06) which improved modestly.

Table 4. Paired Samples t-Test Pre –Post differences of 4 ICF Scales Performance and Capacity

	Scales with comparison at T0 and T1	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	95% Conf. Inter. Diff		Paired diff		
					Lower	Upper	Mean	Std. Dev	Std. Error Mean
Pair 1	Sociality Area Scale P0 - P1	6,718	52	,000	1,91	3,53	-2,72	2,94	0,40
Pair 2	Sociality Area Scale C0 - C1	5,916	29	,000	0,96	1,97	-1,47	1,36	0,25
Pair 3	Culture and Knowledge P0 - P1	4,045	52	,000	0,79	2,34	-1,57	2,82	0,39
Pair 4	Culture and Knowledge C0 - C1	4,166	48	,000	0,67	1,91	-1,29	2,16	0,31
Pair 5	Housing and daily life Scale P0-P1	6,981	51	,000	3,15	5,70	-4,42	4,57	0,63
Pair 6	Housing and daily life Scale C0-C1	2,40	44	,021	0,21	2,37	-1,29	3,60	0,54
Pair 7	Income and employment Scale P0 - P1	4,752	52	,000	1,14	2,82	-1,98	3,04	,417
Pair 8	Income and employment Scale C0 - C1	1,917	42	,062	-,020	,076	-,37	1,28	,194

The items of the ICF Sociality Area Scale on which improvements are most frequently highlighted are related to informal and formal relationships (49% of the subjects) (communication, community life, recreational aspects, and political life). However the closest relatives constitute minor facilitators even in the step of discharge from the JPO. On the performance regarding "Housing and daily life Scale" there is an improvement (Mean -4,42) in 24 cases out of 53.

Moreover, there was an improvement ofn the Scale Culture and Knowledge (14 people) due to the attendance at short training courses or workshops (e.g., photovoltaic system maintenance group). The cognitive skill that has the greatest benefits when exiting the JPO is problem-solving (26 people).

In particular on the items Paid employment more than half of the sample, 34 people, recorded improvements. Important progress was also found on economic self-sufficiency (22 people). Concerning housing, between T0 and T1, the following changes have been observed: 3 people live alone and as many in the family of origin; 2 live in a group-apartment without the permanent presence of socio-health workers; 10 people are in a low protection structure (housing community, family houses) and 12 in high protection structures.

In January 2013 (T1), the security measure was revoked for 9 beneficiaries; most part of the beneficiaries benefited from alternative measures to internment, and only 12.5%, had returned to the JPH following the violation of the provisions and revocation of the final license.

Between T0 and T2 42% of beneficiaries achieved a job placement and at T2, about one out of three are employed in social cooperatives within or outside the Sicily Region. In all cases with job placements, there was income support from the FdC and in 6 more cases a support for rental costs of the house.

In the last follow up of December 2019 (Tab.5), almost ten years after the start of the project, the need for residential structures with high control intensity (REMS) or a prison (1 case) has fallen from the initial 70%, only 6.8% are in a Residence for the Execution of Security Measures (REMS in Italy) and 36,4% of the beneficiaries, excluding the people who have died, live in their home.

Table 5. Residential facilities and living conditions of the Project beneficiaries - December 2019

Place	Surviving beneficiaries		Deceased beneficiaries	
	N.	%	N.	%
Living at home	16	36,4	4	36,4
Living in a therapeutic community (TC)	17	38,6	6	54,5
Community housing or Group-apartment	8	18,2	1	9,1



Residences for the Execution of Security Measures (REMS)	3	6,8	0	0
Total	44	100	11	100

### *Efficiency analysis and costs estimation for residential healthcare*

The beneficiaries of Luce è Libertà in the fourth year from the operational start up of the pilot project (46 Months from Dicembre 2011-September 2015), remained outside the JPH for a mean value of 36 months, that is 79% of the time. In the first years regarding housing conditions, health and restrictive measures, there was a continuous turnover: 16 people, were completely in charge of Luce è Libertà (from 1 to 46 months Mean value 18), complexively 20% of the time they were at home, 44% of the time was spent in a therapeutic community and the rest in low intensity healthcare structures and at the end of 2015 there were 21 people that still needed intensive healthcare in a therapeutic community (TC). Considering the fees of three different residential structures at low-moderate -high intensity of healthcare and protection, after 4 years the total expenditure for residential health care services addressed to the Project beneficiaries was about 5,673,833 euro. In the analysis the positive socio-economic externalities determined by the project are not taken into account. This feature corresponds to 16% of saving from the theoretical expenditure that would have been incurred if the same patients were followed only by TCs, excluding 6% of people that would have returned home and the amount of initial budget cofunded by Cassa delle Ammende. We considered that in Sicily the length of stay in residential facilities in 2018 was twice the national average (Starace & Baccari, 2020),

## **Discussion**

1  
2  
3 Results demonstrate that improvements in mental health were statistically significant in the  
4 follow-up period (T1) after the discharge from the JPH even for patients with a long  
5 institutionalisation and serious mental illness.  
6  
7  
8  
9

10 The needing for high control structures (JPH or REMS) along the first two years from the  
11 discharge decreases from an initial baseline of 70% (T0) to 12% (T1), reaching 6.7% after in  
12 the second followup (T2). This shows that the so-called 'parachute' mechanism, the protection  
13 system activated by the project, was effective.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

20 At the first follow up (T1) 11.4% of beneficiaries lived alone or with their family while at T2  
21 the percentage increased reaching the value of 42%. Employment is a key factor in enhancing  
22 mental health and social inclusion of people with severe mental illness and is associated with  
23 fewer rehospitalisation episodes (Nieminen et al., 2012: 5). The percentage of integration in  
24 the workplace is very high and reached 42% of the sample in 8 years; excluding retired and  
25 10 dead people, it still corresponds to 29% (T2).  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

35 A critical issue, unfortunately as expected, is the high mortality (18.2% of the sample) from  
36 the initial discharges from JPH, and the early death (average age at death 49). Most people are  
37 dead two years after the discharge. Literature confirms higher Standardized Mortality Ratios  
38 (SMR 2,47 for males) in psychiatric hospitals with a higher rate after discharge (D'Avanzo,  
39 Negri, La Vecchia, 2003).  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

47 In line with the Capability Approach (CA) (Sen 1989; 2001) the space on which we tried to  
48 express judgments about the effectiveness of personalized intervention was that of increasing  
49 the substantial freedoms of people that is a key aspect of the CA underrepresented in the  
50 assessment of individual's well-being (Karimi et al. 2016). One of the project peculiarity is  
51 the use of the device called Personal Capital of Capability (PCC), a personal dowry, that  
52 allowed and accompanied the discharge from the JPH and the long-term support of all the ex  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 inmates. From a technical point of view, PCCs are very innovative. The PCCs have not been  
4 transformed into a flow of services (e.g.: fees for therapeutic communities, resources directly  
5 expendable by people) as commonly happens, even within the personal health budget models  
6 for mental health (Glendinning et al., 2008; Webber et al., 2014; Alakeson et al., 2016), but  
7 represented a 'stock of resources' mutualized and capitalized for productive investments and  
8 aimed at supporting the long-term inclusive social economies managed within the social  
9 district (DSE), promoting further entrepreneurial initiatives for job insertion, or to guarantee  
10 forms of social housing.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

21  
22 In conclusion, the fourth year represents the break even point of this model and as from then  
23 on, the capital invested in the project has begun to generate economic returns that continue to  
24 support interventions on the various dimensions: housing, work and social issues. The  
25 reduction in healthcare costs is mostly due to a moderate use of residential facilities and to  
26 strengthening of care and social inclusion processes at community level.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33  
34 One of the indirect positive impacts of the project mid-term evaluation concerned the  
35 elaboration of regional guideline (Regione Siciliana, 2014) for the implementation of a  
36 program aimed at sustain healthcare and social inclusion at community level of mental ill  
37 patients discharged from JPHs. Across the Region, the use of the Health Budget device to  
38 support personalised treatment projects and the use of the ICF Tool to assess personal and  
39 social functioning has been introduced.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

49 The creation of PCCs could be guaranteed by social impact financial programs and, therefore,  
50 do not weight on public spending, thus making possible the transition from inefficient welfare  
51 models to much more effective and efficient community welfare models. For example, co-  
52 managing entities could establish, thanks to the partnership of social finance actors, the  
53 capital of ability and guarantee the management of customized projects for at least 10 years.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60 The public institution could commit to finance a decreasing health budget.

1  
2  
3 The lack of measurement of change through scientific methods, according Carta and colleagues  
4 (2020), in Italy represents a critical point which may compromise the development of  
5  
6 knowledge coming from one of the world's most important experiences of humanitarian  
7  
8 approach to mental health. For this reason a reduced version of four ICF sub-scales was built  
9  
10 to assess the social and health performances and functioning related to the discharge from the  
11  
12 JPO within an impact evaluation design and not just for diagnostic purposes and clinical  
13  
14 practice (Egger et al., 2019). The full version of ICF covers 300 pages dealing with 1,413  
15  
16 items and according to Helander (2003), the Chief medical officer Rehabilitation Program of  
17  
18 the WHO, is too complex and would have been impossible to use it for programme evaluation  
19  
20 purposes. As suggested by Bickenbach (2014), the Capability Approach has been combined  
21  
22 with the ICF tool.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

29 A recent monitoring report about the overcoming of Italian JPHs and the role of REMS  
30 (Residences for the Execution of Security Measures) (Pellegrini et al., 2020) shows that: a)  
31 the relationships with the Judiciary and the Mental Health Departments of the Local Health  
32  
33 Authorities in the period 2015-2019 have worsened; b) the future of the REMS models should  
34  
35 be investigated and that other housing solutions could flank or even replace them. Some  
36  
37 measures for the conversion of structures and organizational models, with a clear distinction  
38  
39 between security policies and health care or psychiatric rehabilitation functions (Casacchia et  
40  
41 al., 2015), are still needed.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

48 The results of the pilot Project Luce è Libertà could partially suggest some viable solutions to  
49  
50 meet these needs.  
51  
52

53 The main limitation of our study is the lack of a complete monitoring system, and therefore  
54  
55 of microdata at national level, about the health care paths after the discharge of the ex-inmates  
56  
57 from the six JPOs. This shortcoming flawed the comparison among different models of  
58  
59 intervention and their standard costs. A further weakness of the evaluation design is linked to  
60

1  
2  
3 the lack of direct interviews to the beneficiaries five years after the discharge. Many  
4  
5 difficulties should be overcome to enter in contact and re-interview people, in charge of  
6  
7 several Mental health departments, within therapeutic communities spread in many provinces.  
8  
9

## 10 11 12 13 **Conclusions**

14  
15  
16 The Italian reform of psychiatric hospital, with the "pioneering" measures that led to the  
17  
18 closure of JPHs, has been considered a revolutionary trait (Di Lorito et al., 2017) and a  
19  
20 choice of 'civilization' by placing this strategy under the scrutiny of health professionals,  
21  
22 policymakers of other countries worldwide, which are still founded, to various degrees, on a  
23  
24 greater restrictive institutionalising approach.  
25  
26

27  
28 This study provided an impact evaluation of an innovative model, developed by the project  
29  
30 Light is Freedom, with a sample of psychiatric patients discharged from one out of six Italian  
31  
32 JPHs with a very long history of mental illness and 'detention'. The study addressed the need  
33  
34 to reduce the paucity of empirical research around the closure of JPHs within the reform of the  
35  
36 Italian mental health care system (Carta et al. 2020; Di Lorito, 2017; Casacchia et al., 2015).  
37  
38

39  
40 A positive indirect impact of the project has been the generation of financial resources used to  
41  
42 sustain the development of socio-economic systems of the social economy network (Giunta et  
43  
44 al. 2014) that continue, after 10 years, to function as facilitators for social and labour  
45  
46 insertions of ex JPH inmates and mentally ill people.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55

## 56 **Disclosure of interest**

57  
58  
59 The authors declare that they have no competing interest.  
60

## Funding

The study was funded by the Cassa delle Ammende Minister of Justice (Italy), by the Community Foundation of Messina and Minister of Health and Sicily Region Intesa Stato Regione 20/4/11 - 84CSR.

## Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to the informants and beneficiaries who shared their stories and to the Department of Mental health of ASP Messina 5, ULEPE of Messina Ministe of Justice and all the Third Sector partners of the Project, who offer their cooperation and for helpful and constructive comments.

## References

- Alakeson, V., Boardman, J., Boland, B.,Crimlisk, H., Harrison, C., Llife, S., Khan, M., O'Shea, R &, Patterson, J. (2016). Debating personal health budgets. *BJPsych Bulletin*, 40(1): 34-37.
- Alkire, S. (2015). *Capability Approach and Well-being Measurement for Public Policy*. OPHI Working Paper 94, Oxford University. <https://www.ophi.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/OPHIWP094.pdf>
- Barbato, A., D'Avanzo, B., Rocca, G., Amatulli, A., Lampugnani, D. (2004). A Study of Long-Stay Patients Resettled in the Community After Closure of a Psychiatric Hospital in Italy. *Psychiatric Services*, 55,1, 67-70.
- Barbui, C., & Sraceno, B. (2015). Closing forensic psychiatric hospitals in Italy: a new revolution begins? *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 206(6):445-446. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.114.153817>

- 1  
2  
3 Bickenbach, J. (2014). Reconciling the capability approach and the ICF. *Alter*, 8(1):10-23  
4  
5 Carta, M.G., Angermeyer, M.C., Holzinger, A. (2020). Mental health care in Italy: Basaglia's  
6  
7 ashes in the wind of the crisis of the last decade. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*,  
8  
9  
10 66(4): 321-330.  
11  
12 Casacchia, M., Malavolta, M., Bianchini, V., Giusti, L., Di Michele, V., Giosuè, P., Ruggeri,  
13  
14 M., Biondi, M., & Roncone, R. (2015). Closing forensic psychiatric hospitals in Italy: a new  
15  
16 deal for mental health care? *Rivista di Psichiatria*, 50(5), 199-209. doi: 10.1708/2040.22158.  
17  
18 D'Avanzo, B., Negri, E., & La Vecchia, C. (2003). Mortality in long-stay patients from  
19  
20 psychiatric hospitals in Italy: results from the Qualyop Project. *Social Psychiatric*  
21  
22 *Epidemiology*, 38, 385–389.  
23  
24  
25 De Luca, V., Pompili, P.M., Paoletti, G., Bianchini, V., Franchi F., Lombardi, M., Lagrotteria,  
26  
27 B., Iannini, C., Fedele, C., Pompili, E., & Nicolò, G. (2018). The reform of Italian forensic  
28  
29 psychiatric hospitals and its impact on risk assessment and management. *International Journal*  
30  
31 *of Risk Recovery*, 1(3). doi.org/10.15173/ijrr.v1i3.3510  
32  
33  
34 Di Lorito, C., Castelletti, L., Lega, I., Gualco, B., Scarpa, F., Völlm, B. (2017). The closing of  
35  
36 forensic psychiatric hospitals in Italy: Determinants, current status and future perspectives. A  
37  
38 scoping review. *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry*, 55, 54–63.  
39  
40  
41 Ferracuti, S., Pucci, D., Trobia, F., Alessi, M.C., Rapinesi, C., Kotzalidis, G.D., Del Casale,  
42  
43 A. (2019). Evolution of forensic psychiatry in Italy over the past 40 years (1978–2018).  
44  
45 *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry*, 62, 45-49.  
46  
47  
48 Fioritti, A., & Melega, V. (2000). Italian forensic psychiatry: A story to be written.  
49  
50 *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences*, 9, 219–226.  
51  
52  
53 Fornari, U., & Ferracuti, S. (1995). Special judicial psychiatric hospitals in Italy and the  
54  
55 shortcomings of the mental health law. *The Journal of Forensic Psychiatry*, 6:2, 381-392.  
56  
57  
58 doi: 10.1080/09585189508409903  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Fukuda-Parr, S. (2003). The human development paradigm: operationalizing Sen's ideas on  
4 capabilities. *Feminist Economics*, 9(2-3), 301-317.

7 Karimi, M., Brazier, J., & Basarir, H. (2016). The Capability Approach: A Critical Review of  
8 Its Application in Health Economics. *International Society for Pharmacoeconomics and*  
9 *Outcomes Research (ISPOR)*, 19(6), 795-799.

15 Giunta, G., Leone, L., Marino, D., Motta, G., Righetti, A. and Marino, D., (2014).  
16 A Community Welfare Model Interdependent with Productive, Civil Economy Clusters: A New  
17 Approach. *Modern Economy*, 5(8). [doi:10.4236/me.2014.58084](https://doi.org/10.4236/me.2014.58084)

22 Glendinning, C., Challis, D., Fernandez, J., Jacobs, S., Jones, K., Knapp, M., Manthorpe, J.,  
23 Moran, N., Netten, A., Stevens, M., & Wilberforce, M. (2008). *Evaluation of the Individual*  
24 *Budgets Pilot Programme: Final Report*. York: Social Policy Research Unit, University of  
25 York. <https://www.york.ac.uk/inst/spru/pubs/pdf/IBSEN.pdf>

31 Helander, E. (2003). A critical review of the International Classification of Functioning,  
32 disability and health (ICF). Presentation at a conference in Bucharest, Romania. Retrieved  
33 from <http://www.einarhelander.com/critical-review-ICF.pdf>.

38 Leff, J., Trieman, N., Knapp, M., & Hallam, A. (2000). The TAPS Project: a report on 13 years  
39 of research, 1985-1998. *Psychiatric Bulletin*, 24, 165-168.

42 Leone, L., (2014). Evoluzione ed effetti del capitale sociale del Distretto Sociale Evoluto di  
43 Messina. In: G. Giunta, L. Leone, D. Marino, F. Marsico, G. Motta, A. Righetti, *Sviluppo è*  
44 *coesione e libertà: il caso del Distretto Sociale Evoluto* (pp. 55- 69). Messina: HDECivil  
45 Economy. ISBN 978-92-9168-499-1

51 Manabe, S., Miura Y., Takemura T., Ashida, N., Nakagawa, R., Mineno, T., & Matsumura, Y.  
52 (2011). Development of ICF Code Selection Tools for Mental Health Care. *Methods of*  
53 *Information in Medicine*, 17; 50(2), 150-157.



1  
2  
3 McGrew, J.H., Wright, E.R., Pescosolido B.A., & McDonel, E.C. (1999). Patient outcomes  
4 following the closing of a state hospital. *Journal of Behavioral Health Services and Research*,  
5  
6 26, 246–261.  
7

8  
9  
10 Nieminen, I., Ramon, S., Dawson, I., Flores, P., Leahy, E., Pedersen M.L and Kaunonen, M.  
11  
12 (2012). Experiences of Social Inclusion and Employment of Mental Health Service Users in a  
13  
14 European Union Project. *International Journal of Mental Health*, 41(4), 3-23.  
15

16  
17 Pellegrini, P., Paulillo, G., Pellegrini, C., Barone, R., Cecconi, S. (2020). *Primi risultati del*  
18  
19 *questionario sulle Residenze per la Esecuzione delle Misure di Sicurezza (R.E.M.S.) al tempo del covid-*  
20  
21 *19. Osservatorio stop OPG.: <http://www.sossanita.org/archives/10310>*  
22

23  
24 Regione Siciliana Assessorato alla Salute, Programma per la realizzazione di interventi e per  
25  
26 il superamento degli OPG Direttiva Assessorile 127/2014.  
27

28  
29 Rothbard, A.B., Kuno, E., Hadley, T.R., & Dogin, J. (2004). Psychiatric service utilization and  
30  
31 cost for persons with schizophrenia in a medicaid managed care program. *The Journal of*  
32  
33 *Behavioral Health Services & Research*, 31, 1–12.  
34

35 Sen, A. (2001). *Development as freedom*. (2nd ed.). Oxford New York: Oxford University Press

36  
37 Sen, A. (1989). Development as Capability Expansion, *Journal of Development Planning*, 19,  
38  
39 41–58.  
40

41  
42 Senato della Repubblica Italiana (2011). Commissione Parlamentare di Inchiesta, Relazione  
43  
44 sulle condizioni di vita e di cura all'interno degli ospedali psichiatrici giudiziari, Doc XXII  
45  
46 bis n.4, 20.  
47

48  
49 Starace, F., & Baccari, F. (2020 ). La Salute Mentale nelle Regioni Disuguaglianze di sistema  
50  
51 SIEP Società Italiana di Epidemiologia Psichiatrica, Quaderno n.7. [http://siep.it/wp-](http://siep.it/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/Scheda-Sicilia.pdf)  
52  
53 [content/uploads/2018/08/Scheda-Sicilia.pdf](http://siep.it/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/Scheda-Sicilia.pdf)  
54

55  
56 Zanalda, E., & Giannantonio, M. (2021). Overcoming forensic psychiatric hospitals  
57  
58 in Italy, five years later. *Journal of psychopathology*, 27:3-7. doi: 10.36148/2284-0249-413  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Webber, M., Treacy, S., Carr, S. Clarke, M., & Parker, G. (2014). The effectiveness of personal  
4 budgets for people with mental health problems: a systematic review. *Journal of mental health*,  
5  
6 23(3), 146-155. DOI: 10.3109/0  
7  
8

9  
10 9638237.2014.910642

11  
12 Wing, J.K., Beevor, A.S., & Curtis, R.H. (1998). Health of the Nation Outcome Scales  
13 (HoNOS); research and development. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 172, 11–18.

14  
15 World Health Organization (2011) ICF International Classification of Functioning, Disability  
16 and Health. Geneva: World Health Organization. Retrieved from  
17  
18 <https://www.who.int/classifications/icf/en/>. Accessed April 9, 2021

19  
20  
21  
22  
23 World Health Organization (2013). How to use the ICF. A Practical Manual for using the  
24 International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF). Geneva: World Health  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
Organization.

## NOTES

1) In 2010, during the start-up of the project, 257 people were hospitalized in the JPH. They were all subjected to security measures and 110 of them, the 43%, were subjected to a provisional security measure, against a national average of 24.1%. Among the definitive inpatients, over 60% had an extended security measure and about half of these with over three extensions. The health conditions of the inpatients and the liveability of the rooms were declared very critical according to checks by the parliamentary commission of inquiry: "The rooms of the inspected departments (...) are in poor hygienic-sanitary and structural conditions (...). Everywhere there was a nauseating stench due to the presumed presence of urine (...) the overcrowding of the patients in small rooms up to 8 people is revealed, as well as the nonexistence of any educational or

1  
2  
3 recreational activity and the feeling of abandonment of which the patients themselves  
4 complained." (Senato della Repubblica Italiana, 2011:25).  
5  
6

7  
8 2)CFM has invested the mutualized CPPs in the creation of a widespread photovoltaic  
9  
10 park created, in the logic of mutual benefit, with the involvement of the local community.  
11  
12 The energy production is donated to the owners of the buildings and/or of the land that  
13  
14 houses the photovoltaic systems, while the energy account (the public incentive that  
15  
16 rewards the production from renewable sources, according to the Italian legislation) is  
17  
18 entirely transferred to CFM. This allows to finance, in the long run, the personalized  
19  
20 projects of the beneficiaries of the project through the creation of health micro-  
21  
22 budgets. Two medium to large-sized plants were constructed on buildings belonging to  
23  
24 the Ministry of Justice, that therefore benefited free of charge from the energy production  
25  
26 of these plants.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60